

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/624,285	07/24/2000	Michael Maguire	555255012115	6347
7590 04/05/2004			EXAMINER	
David B Cochran Esq Jones Day Reavis & Pogue			BURGE, LONDRA C	
North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
Cleveland, OH	1 44114		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	· ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

M

		/	U
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\dashv
	09/624,285	MAGUIRE, MICHAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\dashv
	Londra C Burge	2178	_ '
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/3	<u>2/2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Ti	his action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-33</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-33</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2004 is/	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	9	3 (-) (-)	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
Attach mout(a)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) ☐ Notice of 0 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed 2/5/2004 to the application, filed on 07/24/2000
- 2. In the amendment, claims 1-21 have been cancelled and claims 22-33 have been added. Accordingly, claims 22-33 are pending in the case. Claim 22 is an independent claim.
- 3. Acknowledgement is made of the amendment to the Specification, on page 8, beginning at line 19, and continuing or to page 9, line 3.
- 4. The rejection of Claims 1-9, 11-16 and 18-21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by <u>Bickmore</u> et al. and the rejection of Claims 10 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Bickmore</u> et al. in view of <u>King</u> et al. have been withdrawn as the claims have been cancelled.

Drawings

5. The drawings were received on 2/2/2004. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 22-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bickmore, et al. (herein after Bickmore) European Patent No. EP0949571 in view of

Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

Baecker et al. (herein after Baecker) U.S. Patent 5,479,602 (Provided to the applicant in the previous office action).

In regard to independent claim 22, Bickmore discloses A method of providing an information page to a handheld viewing device, comprising the steps of: requesting an information page at the handheld viewing device (Bickmore Paragraph 22 Line 3); retrieving the information page from a remote system (Bickmore Paragraph 29 Line 13); if the information page includes a plurality of frames (Bickmore Figure 2), then generating an abbreviated version of the information page (Bickmore Figure 1), and an image map (Bickmore Paragraph 131); and transmitting the abbreviated version of the information page to the handheld viewing device. (Bickmore Paragraph 77 Lines 42-43)

Bickmore does not specifically mention a Graphical representation of the information page. However, Baecker mentions the document or file associated with the icon (Baecker Abstract) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Baecker to Bickmore, providing Bickmore the benefit of using icons to represent documents which is generated upon the occurrence of a predetermined event, such as the closure of the document or file associated with the icon as taught by Bickmore Col 3 Lines 15-19.

Bickmore does not specifically mention locations. However Baecker teaches If the folder is set up in an icon display format, the folder is scanned to determine the existence and location of each of the icons contained within the folder. (Baecker Col 6 Lines 59-65) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Baecker to Bickmore, providing Bickmore the benefit of displaying the static reduced visual

Art Unit: 2178

versions in a serial sequence at said location on the display screen as taught by Baecker Col 10 Lines 55-56.

In regard to dependent claim 23, Bickmore discloses providing a gateway device for receiving the request from the handheld viewing device (Bickmore Paragraph 77 Line 34) and for retrieving the information page from the remote system. (Bickmore Paragraph 29 Line 13)

In regard to dependent claim 24, Bickmore discloses coupling the gateway device to the handheld viewing device via a wireless network. (Bickmore Paragraph 73 Lines 1-3)

In regard to dependent claim 25, Bickmore discloses coupling the gateway device to the remote system via a wired network. (Bickmore Paragraph 100 Line 26)

In regard to dependent claim 26, Bickmore discloses wherein the wired network is the Internet, and the remote system is a world-wide-web server. (Bickmore Paragraph 24 Line 53-56)

In regard to dependent claim 27, Bickmore discloses wherein the information page is a web page. (Bickmore Paragraph 118 Line 24 i.e. Web document)

In regard to dependent claim 28, Bickmore discloses wherein the gateway device stores the information page in a cache (Bickmore Paragraph 116 Line 9) and generates the abbreviated version (Bickmore Figure 1) thereof, including the image map. (Bickmore Figure 5 & 9 Paragraph 91 Line 18)

Bickmore does not specifically mention a Graphical representation of the information page. However, Baecker mentions the document or file associated with the icon (Baecker Abstract) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Baecker to Bickmore, providing Bickmore the benefit of using icons to

Art Unit: 2178

represent documents which is generated upon the occurrence of a predetermined event, such as the closure of the document or file associated with the icon as taught by Bickmore Col 3 Lines 15-19.

In regard to dependent claim 29, Bickmore discloses the handheld viewing device (Bickmore Paragraph 77 Line 34); selecting a portion of the ... (Bickmore Paragraph 91); accessing the image map (Bickmore Paragraph 53 Line 32) to determine a frame that corresponds to the portion of the ... selected (Bickmore Figure 5 & 9 Paragraph 91 Line 18); and retrieving a ... of the selected frame (Bickmore Figure 5 & 9 Paragraph 91 Line 18) and displaying it on the handheld viewing device. (Bickmore Paragraph 77 Line 34)

Bickmore does not specifically mention a Graphical representation of the information page. However, Baecker mentions the document or file associated with the icon (Baecker Abstract) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Baecker to Bickmore, providing Bickmore the benefit of using icons to represent documents which is generated upon the occurrence of a predetermined event, such as the closure of the document or file associated with the icon as taught by Bickmore Col 3 Lines 15-19.

In regard to dependent claim 30, Bickmore discloses providing a uniform resource locator (URL) (Bickmore Paragraph 143 Line 36) associated with each of the plurality of frames (Bickmore Figure 2) identified by the image map (Bickmore Paragraph 131); and transmitting one of the uniform resource locators (Bickmore Paragraph 143 Line 36) to the remote system (Bickmore Paragraph 29 Line 13)

Art Unit: 2178

Bickmore does not specifically mention a Graphical representation of the information page. However, Baecker mentions the document or file associated with the icon (Baecker Abstract) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Baecker to Bickmore, providing Bickmore the benefit of using icons to represent documents which is generated upon the occurrence of a predetermined event, such as the closure of the document or file associated with the icon as taught by Bickmore Col 3 Lines 15-19.

In regard to dependent claim 31, Bickmore does not specifically mention a bitmap. However, Baecker mentions a bitmap (Baecker Col 4 Line 3-4) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Baecker to Bickmore, providing Bickmore the benefit of using the icon bitmap as a small portion of random access memory, or a portion of the data storage device that is used to store a computer compatible representation of the icon as taught by Baecker Col 5 Lines 13-29.

In regard to dependent claim 32, Bickmore discloses if the information page does not include a plurality of frames (Bickmore Figure 5), then transmitting the information page to the handheld viewing device without abbreviating it into the ... and the image map. (Bickmore Paragraph 94 Lines 49)

Bickmore does not specifically mention a Graphical representation of the information page. However, Baecker mentions the document or file associated with the icon (Baecker Abstract) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Baecker to Bickmore, providing Bickmore the benefit of using icons to represent documents which is generated upon the occurrence of a predetermined event, such as

Art Unit: 2178

the closure of the document or file associated with the icon as taught by Bickmore Col 3 Lines 15-19.

In regard to dependent claim 33, Bickmore discloses prior to the transmitting step, (Bickmore Paragraph 90 Lines 14) reducing the size of the ... of the information page (Bickmore Figure 5) to match the display characteristics of the handheld viewing device. (Bickmore Paragraph 77 Lines 42-43)

Bickmore does not specifically mention a Graphical representation of the information page. However, Baecker mentions the document or file associated with the icon (Baecker Abstract) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Baecker to Bickmore, providing Bickmore the benefit of using icons to represent documents which is generated upon the occurrence of a predetermined event, such as the closure of the document or file associated with the icon as taught by Bickmore Col 3 Lines 15-19. (Baecker Col 6 Lines 59-65)

Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-33 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant argues that Bickmore does to mention the concept of "frames", however Bickmore Figure 2 shows a document containing frames. The applicant also argues the Bickmore does not mention generating a graphical representation, However, Baecker mentions a graphical representation of the information page (Baecker Abstract). Nor does it mention generating an

Art Unit: 2178

image map that identifies the locations of the plurality of frames. Bickmore mentions a Image map in Paragraph 131. Baecker mentions locations

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Londra C Burge whose telephone number is 703-305-8784. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 703-308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2178

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Londra C. Burge 3-31-2004

STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER